

20041231.ba v03_n748.bam.20041231

>From ???@??? Fri Dec 31 10:50:49 2004 -0600
Date: Fri, 31 Dec 2004 10:47:28 CST
From: Old Tube Radios <boatanchors@theporch.com>
To: Old Tube Radios <boatanchors@theporch.com>
Subject: BOATANCHORS digest 3748
Message-Id: <20041231114555.D7F563FE15@srvr1.theporch.com>

BOATANCHORS Digest 3748

Topics covered in this issue include:

- 1) Goony Stories
by =?iso-8859-1?Q?Andr=E9_Guibert?= <aguibert@sympatico.ca>
- 2) Re: KNOWLEDGE BLACKOUT AT TIME MAGAZINE
by "Sandy" <ebjr@i-55.com>
- 3) RE: HP-8640B service question
by Morris Odell <morriso@vifm.org>
- 4) Re: KNOWLEDGE BLACKOUT AT TIME MAGAZINE
by Avery Comarow <acomarow@usnews.com>
- 5) Re: KNOWLEDGE BLACKOUT AT TIME MAGAZINE
by Dan Arney <hankarn@pacbell.net>
- 6) RE: KNOWLEDGE BLACKOUT AT TIME MAGAZINE
by "Brian Goldsmith" <brian.goldsmith@echo1.com.au>
- 7) RE: KNOWLEDGE BLACKOUT AT TIME MAGAZINE
by Avery Comarow <acomarow@usnews.com>
- 8) Re: KNOWLEDGE BLACKOUT AT TIME MAGAZINE
by Avery Comarow <acomarow@usnews.com>
- 9) Re: KNOWLEDGE BLACKOUT AT TIME MAGAZINE
by Dan Arney <hankarn@pacbell.net>
- 10) Re: KNOWLEDGE BLACKOUT AT TIME MAGAZINE
by "Tom Smith" <tsmith@hal-pc.org>
- 11) Re: WTB DC3 motor
by "w4okw" <w4okw@gmpexpress.net>
- 12) Re: KNOWLEDGE BLACKOUT AT TIME MAGAZINE
by "Sandy" <ebjr@i-55.com>
- 13) RE: KNOWLEDGE BLACKOUT AT TIME MAGAZINE
by Avery Comarow <acomarow@usnews.com>
- 14) Re: KNOWLEDGE BLACKOUT AT TIME MAGAZINE
by "Arden Allen" <gumbear@pacbell.net>
- 15) Re: KNOWLEDGE BLACKOUT AT TIME MAGAZINE
by "Brian Clarke" <brianclarke01@optusnet.com.au>
- 16) KNOWLEDGE BLACKOUT AT Old Tube Radios
by "Don Davis" <dxguy@earthlink.net>
- 17) Re: KNOWLEDGE BLACKOUT AT TIME MAGAZINE
by David Stinson <arc5@ix.netcom.com>
- 18) Re: KNOWLEDGE BLACKOUT AT TIME MAGAZINE

by Dan Arney <hankarn@pacbell.net>
19) WTB: A.C. Plug
by Mike Hardie <mike46@shaw.ca>

Message-ID: <000d01c4ee9f\$84bb61a0\$8756acce@oemcomputer>
From: =?iso-8859-1?Q?Andr=E9_Guibert?= <aguibert@sympatico.ca>
To: Old Tube Radios <boatanchors@theporch.com>
Subject: Goony Stories
Date: Thu, 30 Dec 2004 13:41:34 -0500
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain;
charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

Still waiting for one of you guys to tell us:
There I was with only a blond doll under me and
ten thousand feet between us and the ground, with earphones
trying to listen to the range signal.
It make's it legal for Jack.:-))
Andre
P.S.On autopilt of course

Message-ID: <007801c4eeb6\$f5728c40\$6d9ecdd1@s0023531634>
From: "Sandy" <ebjr@i-55.com>
To: Old Tube Radios <boatanchors@theporch.com>
Subject: Re: KNOWLEDGE BLACKOUT AT TIME MAGAZINE
Date: Thu, 30 Dec 2004 15:31:02 -0600
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain;
charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

|
| They should. But I'd bet my NC-2-40D that very, very few folks at the top
| of the Time masthead have either a background or much interest in
| technology, period, let alone ancient technology and even less so its
| actual nuts and bolts. So their perspective is cartoonish, the same
| stereotyping that turns engineers into guys with taped glasses and dazed
| expressions in social settings,

IMHO, the "news media" people in GENERAL don't know "SQUAT"
about any "technical" subject! They repeatedly "parrot" what they are told
or what some news directory tells them to say on the subject!

I have been a firearms aficionado for a whole bunch of years. Print
media and TV people especially manage to distort to an appalling degree,

the difference between a semi-auto and full-auto firearm. They fail VERY miserably (as do some government folks!) in defining what is a true "assault weapon"! I have also heard some absolutely insane statements made about explosives and explosive devices. So why would they do any better with complicated electronic devices?

To add to the opinion on "Time" people in general: they did a piece on our Air National Guard unit at a 2 week summer camp. I was working as "permanent party" in the avionics shop at the time. The reporters were very friendly folks and the commander thought we would get a favorable write-up as we took them around and showed them the operation in and around the aircraft and shops. What appeared in the magazine was VERY misleading and unfavorable! You'd think from the article, all we had was a plethora of incompetent personnel, just drinking beer, carousing and having a jolly good time, and not getting anything accomplished at all! (We happened to have an active F-86D air defense mission at that time with armed airplanes on 24/7/365 "active hot alert", and a good simulated combat scoring record!)

So go figure!

73,
Sandy W5TVW

Date: Fri, 31 Dec 2004 09:09:41 +1100
From: Morris Odell <morriso@vifm.org>
Subject: RE: HP-8640B service question
To: Old Tube Radios <boatanchors@theporch.com>
MIME-version: 1.0
Content-type: MULTIPART/MIXED; BOUNDARY="Boundary_(ID_Y6B61oJ9D47AhCMpI56zWQ)"
Content-class: urn:content-classes:message

This is a multi-part message in MIME format...

--Boundary_(ID_Y6B61oJ9D47AhCMpI56zWQ)
Content-type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-disposition: inline
Content-transfer-encoding: 7BIT
Content-class: urn:content-classes:message

If you need some good advice about this device, try the HP-Agilent discussion group on Yahoo groups. There are some great people here.

Happy New year to all de Morris VK3DOC

* * * CONFIDENTIAL * * *

The information in this message and in any attachments may be confidential. If you are not the intended recipient of this message, you must not read, forward, disclose, or use in any way the information this message or any attachment contains. If you are not the intended recipient, please notify the sender immediately and delete or destroy all copies of this message and any attachments.

--Boundary_(ID_Y6B6loJ9D47AhCMpI56zWQ)--
--Boundary_(ID_Y6B6loJ9D47AhCMpI56zWQ)--
--Boundary_(ID_Y6B6loJ9D47AhCMpI56zWQ)--
--Boundary_(ID_Y6B6loJ9D47AhCMpI56zWQ)--

Message-Id: <6.2.0.14.2.20041230172243.026ec830@ntpop.usnews.com>
Date: Thu, 30 Dec 2004 17:33:41 -0500
To: Old Tube Radios <boatanchors@theporch.com>
From: Avery Comarow <acomarow@usnews.com>
Subject: Re: KNOWLEDGE BLACKOUT AT TIME MAGAZINE
Cc: "Sandy" <ebjr@i-55.com>
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="us-ascii"; format=flowed

Well, gee, Sandy. Reading your opinion of the folks in my business is a little like reading Time's opinion of hams, and makes about as much sense. "News media" people aren't all the same any more than hams or doctors or members of Congress are all the same. I cover medicine. I try to get my head around some pretty complicated stuff and report it accurately. I, too, cringe when I see aspects of medicine misreported over and over. Most reporters I know take their jobs very seriously.

I think this is getting way too heated and OT, and will stop here.

Avery W3AVE

At 03:31 PM 12/30/2004 -0600, you wrote:

>|
>| They should. But I'd bet my NC-2-40D that very, very few folks at the top
>| of the Time masthead have either a background or much interest in
>| technology, period, let alone ancient technology and even less so its
>| actual nuts and bolts. So their perspective is cartoonish, the same
>| stereotyping that turns engineers into guys with taped glasses and dazed
>| expressions in social settings,
>
>IMHO, the "news media" people in GENERAL don't know "SQUAT"
>about any "technical" subject! They repeatedly "parrot" what they are told
>or what some news directory tells them to say on the subject!
>
>I have been a firearms aficionado for a whole bunch of years. Print

>media and TV people especially manage to distort to an appalling degree,
>the difference between a semi-auto and full-auto firearm. They fail VERY
>miserably (as do some government folks!) in defining what is a true
>"assault weapon"! I have also heard some absolutely insane statements
>made about explosives and explosive devices. So why would they do any
>better with complicated electronic devices?
>
>To add to the opinion on "Time" people in general: they did a piece on our
>Air National Guard unit at a 2 week summer camp. I was working as
>"permanent party" in the avionics shop at the time. The reporters were
>very friendly
>folks and the commander thought we would get a favorable write-up as we
>took them around and showed them the operation in and around the aircraft
>and shops. What appeared in the magazine was VERY misleading and unfavorable!
>You'd think from the article, all we had was a plethora of incompetent
>personnel, just drinking beer, carousing and having a jolly good time, and
>not getting anything accomplished at all! (We happened to have an active
>F-86D air defense mission at that time with armed airplanes on 24/7/365
>"active hot alert", and a good simulated combat scoring record!)
>
>So go figure!
>
>73,
>Sandy W5TVW

Message-ID: <41D48334.1000304@pacbell.net>
Date: Thu, 30 Dec 2004 14:37:40 -0800
From: Dan Arney <hankarn@pacbell.net>
MIME-Version: 1.0
To: Old Tube Radios <boatanchors@theporch.com>
Cc: Old Tube Radios <boatanchors@theporch.com>
Subject: Re: KNOWLEDGE BLACKOUT AT TIME MAGAZINE
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=ISO-8859-1; format=flowed
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

The media is jut like the unions they a an interest in the bottom line
it must be black and is called money.
Neither give a rats a** about the truth or the members or public opinion.
That is until they are put in a corner.
Hank
KN6DI

From: "Brian Goldsmith" <brian.goldsmith@echo1.com.au>
To: Old Tube Radios <boatanchors@theporch.com>
Subject: RE: KNOWLEDGE BLACKOUT AT TIME MAGAZINE
Date: Fri, 31 Dec 2004 10:22:01 +1100

MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain;
charset="us-ascii"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Message-Id: <20041230182040.CE8BF3FDF6@srvr1.theporch.com>

-----Original Message-----

From: Avery Comarow

The ratio of hams in the U.S. population is about 2.5 per 1,000 (735,000 hams out of 295 million people). In my office we have perhaps 100 people. Everything else being equal, that makes the odds of finding even one radio amateur in this bunch 1 in 4 (0.25 hams per 100)

**** Er, not so!!! More like one in four hundred!!

Brian Goldsmith.

Message-Id: <6.2.0.14.2.20041230183856.02661040@ntpop.usnews.com>
Date: Thu, 30 Dec 2004 18:40:19 -0500
To: Old Tube Radios <boatanchors@theporch.com>
From: Avery Comarow <acomarow@usnews.com>
Subject: RE: KNOWLEDGE BLACKOUT AT TIME MAGAZINE
Cc: "Brian Goldsmith" <brian.goldsmith@echo1.com.au>
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="us-ascii"; format=flowed

My bad. Makes the ratio in my office look pretty good.<g>

Avery W3AVE

At 10:22 AM 12/31/2004 +1100, you wrote:

>-----Original Message-----

>From: Avery Comarow

>

>The ratio of hams in the U.S. population is about 2.5 per 1,000 (735,000 hams out of 295 million people). In my office we have perhaps 100 people.

>Everything else being equal, that makes the odds of finding even one radio
>amateur in this bunch 1 in 4 (0.25 hams per 100)
>
>
>**** Er, not so!!! More like one in four hundred!!
>
>Brian Goldsmith.

Message-Id: <6.2.0.14.2.20041230184106.02641b10@ntpop.usnews.com>
Date: Thu, 30 Dec 2004 18:44:31 -0500
To: Old Tube Radios <boatanchors@theporch.com>
From: Avery Comarow <acomarow@usnews.com>
Subject: Re: KNOWLEDGE BLACKOUT AT TIME MAGAZINE
Cc: hankarn@pacbell.net
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="us-ascii"; format=flowed

Hank, what kind of reaction would you have if I posted that everybody in
your packing/shipping business is a cheat and a liar?

For Pete's sakes.

Avery W3AVE

At 02:37 PM 12/30/2004 -0800, you wrote:
>The media is jut like the unions they a an interest in the bottom line it
>must be black and is called money.
>Neither give a rats a** about the truth or the members or public opinion.
>That is until they are put in a corner.
>Hank
>KN6DI
>

Message-ID: <41D4A205.2030201@pacbell.net>
Date: Thu, 30 Dec 2004 16:49:09 -0800
From: Dan Arney <hankarn@pacbell.net>
MIME-Version: 1.0
To: Old Tube Radios <boatanchors@theporch.com>
Cc: boatanchors@theporch.com
Subject: Re: KNOWLEDGE BLACKOUT AT TIME MAGAZINE
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=ISO-8859-1; format=flowed
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

Avery, I do not see US News & World Reports posting any positive
articles about Ham radio. Why because it does not make a good tag line
nor interest the subscribers.

I have always preferred USN&WR over Time and News week.
I did not say anyone was stealing or cheating.
Hank
KN6DI

Message-ID: <00ab01c4eed4\$709002a0\$e787b4ce@toms>
From: "Tom Smith" <tsmith@hal-pc.org>
To: Old Tube Radios <boatanchors@theporch.com>
Subject: Re: KNOWLEDGE BLACKOUT AT TIME MAGAZINE
Date: Thu, 30 Dec 2004 19:02:45 -0600
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain;
 format=flowed;
 charset="iso-8859-1";
 reply-type=original
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

Well said Avery.

I eventually dropped out of my local ham club because there was no one that had any interest in vacuum tube technology and this was a ham club with much greater per capita odds of finding common ground. The majority were appliance operators (no flames please, I have no problems with appliance ops) but the ones that were interested in building and what made things tick were sand state folks (I have no problem with them either). The bottom line is, we in the amateur radio community are few and the vacuum tube folks are even fewer. We need to do as Avery so wisely suggested and take part in community service whenever possible. Actions can speak as loudly as words.

Happy new year to all! (especially you .25%)

Tom N5AMA

----- Original Message -----

From: "Avery Comarow" <acomarow@usnews.com>
To: "Old Tube Radios" <boatanchors@theporch.com>
Sent: Thursday, December 30, 2004 12:42 PM
Subject: Re: KNOWLEDGE BLACKOUT AT TIME MAGAZINE

> Indulge me for a minute, as a writer/editor for U.S. News & World Report,
> one of Time's friendly competitors.
>
> The way I see Time's snickering dismissal of our pastime (and stamp
> collecting, too, remember) is this:
> The ratio of hams in the U.S. population is about 2.5 per 1,000 (735,000
> hams out of 295 million people). In my office we have perhaps 100 people.
> Everything else being equal, that makes the odds of finding even one radio
> amateur in this bunch 1 in 4 (0.25 hams per 100). As far as I know, I'm

> the
> token ham roaming the halls and have always been the token ham in my 18
> years here, not counting one guy who confessed to a brief fling with
> hamming during adolescence. Time has a much larger staff, but even if it's
> triple our size, that's still a probability below 1 of having a single ham
> on board. So none of us are around to catch silly or egregious stuff of
> the
> kind under discussion.
>
> Why, you ask reasonably, wouldn't a group of bright, knowledgeable people
> like Time's editors have at least passing familiarity with the history of
> radio and its pioneers?
>
> They should. But I'd bet my NC-2-40D that very, very few folks at the top
> of the Time masthead have either a background or much interest in
> technology, period, let alone ancient technology and even less so its
> actual nuts and bolts. So their perspective is cartoonish, the same
> stereotyping that turns engineers into guys with taped glasses and dazed
> expressions in social settings, mathematicians into otherworldly
> creatures,
> and (veering dangerously into swampy terrain, sorry) religious Christians
> into Bible-spouting bigots, Muslims into terrorists, whites into greedy
> capitalists, African-Americans into thugs... It ain't pretty. And if an
> editor is going to try to raise his consciousness, he's not going to start
> with hams and stamp collectors.
>
> I will say this: Letters to Time do get a careful reading, just as letters
> do here and at most publications. The best educational tool is a letter or
> email that politely raises the points David has, citing chapter and verse
> to correct whatever impression resulted in the dissing in the pages of the
> mag, and requests that the letter be directed to the writer and editor
> responsible. Did I mention politely?
>
> Given our stereotype and low profile, we shouldn't expect much, but that's
> okay. It's like a good teacher who finds joy in reaching one student.
> Maybe
> there will be one writer or editor whose fingers stop over the keyboard
> the
> next time.
>
> Avery W3AVE
> Potomac, Md.
>
> At 09:01 AM 12/30/2004, you wrote:
>>[large snip] ...
>>It's a shame the editors at Time have such a narrow view of radio, the
>>technology that is the basis for the Internet and all the stuff that
>>currently grabs readers attention.

>

Message-ID: <00c201c4eeda\$84696f40\$a7137441@DellUpstairs>
From: "w4okw" <w4okw@gmpexpress.net>
To: Old Tube Radios <boatanchors@theporch.com>
Subject: Re: WTB DC3 motor
Date: Thu, 30 Dec 2004 20:46:16 -0500
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain;
charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

Right you are! And real engines make lots of noise and smoke and throw oil all over the place!

73 de Tom/ with lots of time behind R3350s, R2800s and R-1820s.....and T-56s CJ805s, CFM56s the gamut!

----- Original Message -----

From: "Dan Arney" <hankarn@pacbell.net>
To: "Old Tube Radios" <boatanchors@theporch.com>
Cc: "Old Tube Radios" <boatanchors@theporch.com>
Sent: Tuesday, December 28, 2004 10:40 PM
Subject: Re: WTB DC3 motor

> DC-3's had Engines, P&W R-1830.
> Motors run on AC or DC.
> Hank
> KN6DI
>

Message-ID: <001b01c4eeda\$e1e3cb20\$a09fcdd1@s0023531634>
From: "Sandy" <ebjr@i-55.com>
To: Old Tube Radios <boatanchors@theporch.com>
Subject: Re: KNOWLEDGE BLACKOUT AT TIME MAGAZINE
Date: Thu, 30 Dec 2004 19:48:51 -0600
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain;
charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

There is some very competent people in media, but they seem to be in the minority! Too much "sensationalism" nowadays. I find REALLY competent people in TV news are really a rarity. There is a few. Usually they are not the "trendy" ones who blink, wave their hands around, and shake/jerk their heads with almost every syllable! It's a damned shame they don't get more recognition

(generally) than they do.

Some of the programming on TV news now is very slipshod. I won't go into specific cases as this thread would last forever.

The personal "Time" coverage that my Air Guard unit received about 40 years or so ago really burnt me on them. I started looking carefully at stories from then

on and their credibility lost and immense percentage of ground with me.

I hope you didn't take anything I said personally, as it was not aimed at you OR the people that ARE competent and write WELL researched stories.

73,

Sandy W5TVW

----- Original Message -----

From: "Avery Comarow" <acomarow@usnews.com>

To: <boatanchors@theporch.com>

Cc: "Sandy" <ebjr@i-55.com>

Sent: Thursday, December 30, 2004 4:33 PM

Subject: Re: KNOWLEDGE BLACKOUT AT TIME MAGAZINE

| Well, gee, Sandy. Reading your opinion of the folks in my business is a
| little like reading Time's opinion of hams, and makes about as much sense.
| "News media" people aren't all the same any more than hams or doctors or
| members of Congress are all the same. I cover medicine. I try to get my
| head around some pretty complicated stuff and report it accurately. I, too,
| cringe when I see aspects of medicine misreported over and over. Most
| reporters I know take their jobs very seriously.

| I think this is getting way too heated and OT, and will stop here.

| Avery W3AVE

| At 03:31 PM 12/30/2004 -0600, you wrote:

| >|

| >| They should. But I'd bet my NC-2-40D that very, very few folks at the top
| >| of the Time masthead have either a background or much interest in
| >| technology, period, let alone ancient technology and even less so its
| >| actual nuts and bolts. So their perspective is cartoonish, the same
| >| stereotyping that turns engineers into guys with taped glasses and dazed
| >| expressions in social settings,

| >

| >IMHO, the "news media" people in GENERAL don't know "SQUAT"

| >about any "technical" subject! They repeatedly "parrot" what they are told
| >or what some news directory tells them to say on the subject!

| >

| >I have been a firearms aficionado for a whole bunch of years. Print
| >media and TV people especially manage to distort to an appalling degree,
| >the difference between a semi-auto and full-auto firearm. They fail VERY
| >miserably (as do some government folks!) in defining what is a true

| >"assault weapon"! I have also heard some absolutely insane statements
| >made about explosives and explosive devices. So why would they do any
| >better with complicated electronic devices?
| >
| >To add to the opinion on "Time" people in general: they did a piece on our
| >Air National Guard unit at a 2 week summer camp. I was working as
| >"permanent party" in the avionics shop at the time. The reporters were
| >very friendly
| >folks and the commander thought we would get a favorable write-up as we
| >took them around and showed them the operation in and around the aircraft
| >and shops. What appeared in the magazine was VERY misleading and unfavorable!
| >You'd think from the article, all we had was a plethora of incompetent
| >personnel, just drinking beer, carousing and having a jolly good time, and
| >not getting anything accomplished at all! (We happened to have an active
| >F-86D air defense mission at that time with armed airplanes on 24/7/365
| >"active hot alert", and a good simulated combat scoring record!)
| >
| >So go figure!
| >
| >73,
| >Sandy W5TVW
|
|
|

Message-Id: <6.2.0.14.2.20041230220145.02685538@ntpop.usnews.com>
Date: Thu, 30 Dec 2004 22:03:21 -0500
To: Old Tube Radios <boatanchors@theporch.com>
From: Avery Comarow <acomarow@usnews.com>
Subject: RE: KNOWLEDGE BLACKOUT AT TIME MAGAZINE
Cc: "John KO4SR" <ko4sr@earthlink.net>
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="us-ascii"; format=flowed

Hi, John--

All of the newsgathering organizations you named are TV, and TV is largely about impact: drama, pathos, the anchor's reassuring twinkle or haircut. Not to say that TV doesn't have a lot of damn good reporters, but when you're fighting for a few minutes of air time, you're sure not going to put together the TV equivalent of a 10-minute NPR feature. Even with good reporters who aren't looking to beat the drama drums, compression invites selectivity, which leads to distortion.

I've done a fair number of spot appearances on TV. I'm not crazy about doing them precisely because of the ridiculous Hobson's choice they impose: either cram too much information into too little time or pare it down to

one or two oversimplified messages.

I'm a print guy. Even a short print piece has more breathing room than a two-minute TV piece. And print reporters don't have to worry about looking pretty, which I assuredly am not.

So, John, how would you judge the print reporters who rode with you? I hope they were a cut or three higher.

Avery W3AVE

At 06:49 PM 12/30/2004 -0600, you wrote:

>Hi Avery -

>

>If I may weigh in on this...

>

>I am retired Navy and had a great deal of experience with "the media" when
>they rode my ship in the Gulf in 1988. I am sorry to have to say this but
>the vast majority of the reporters I met (and I met a bunch: Reuters, CNN,
>CBS, NBC, ABC - pretty much ran the gamut) were far less interested in
>reporting honestly what they had/were seeing than in getting out a
>sensationalized story that would gain them readership. The Reuters
>correspondent even made up a story out of whole cloth about a sailor (a
>Sonar Technician from NJ) being so far in debt from gambling aboard the ship
>he was worried he might not have a paycheck to send home to his wife.
>Problem was, I was (a) the leading Chief of Sonar Division and (b) the only
>Sonar Technician from NJ aboard the ship and (c), I never gambled. Luckily,
>my wife knew enough about me to discount the story but you can imagine how
>that could have panicked some young wife back in the states.

>

>Now, am I condemning the entire profession? No, of course not. But you
>have to acknowledge the mainstream media has not exactly distinguished
>itself in recent times by doing even the most rudimentary "sanity checks" on
>the articles they submit, print or broadcast. This, I believe, is what
>rankles knowledgeable folks - that resources are available yet not availed.

>

>Oh well. Just had to toss my two cents in. Avery, I have no doubt you have
>great pride in your work and good on you for it.

>

>John K04SR, STGCS(SW), USN (ret)

Message-ID: <003b01c4eee9\$45d42c20\$c9e47443@KB6NAX>

From: "Arden Allen" <gumbear@pacbell.net>

To: Old Tube Radios <boatanchors@theporch.com>

Subject: Re: KNOWLEDGE BLACKOUT AT TIME MAGAZINE

Date: Thu, 30 Dec 2004 19:29:17 -0800
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain;
charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

A little off track, Sandy, but have you noticed the media are only experts on the media (as in narcissism)? The rest of us are just filler...

Arden Allen
KB6NAX

Message-ID: <006e01c4ef1a\$10d502c0\$0404a8c0@brian>
From: "Brian Clarke" <brianclarke01@optusnet.com.au>
To: Old Tube Radios <boatanchors@theporch.com>
Subject: Re: KNOWLEDGE BLACKOUT AT TIME MAGAZINE
Date: Fri, 31 Dec 2004 20:21:09 +1100
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: multipart/alternative;
boundary="-----=_NextPart_000_006B_01C4EF76.43A6C9C0"

This is a multi-part message in MIME format.

-----=_NextPart_000_006B_01C4EF76.43A6C9C0
Content-Type: text/plain;
charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: quoted-printable

Sorry Sandy,
24/7 makes sense and so would 24/7/52, but 24/7/365 is incomprehensibly=20
meaningless.
73 de Brian, VK2GCE.

Sandy said:

<snip> (We happened to have an active
F-86D air defense mission at that time with armed airplanes on 24/7/365
"active hot alert", and a good simulated combat scoring record!)=

-----=_NextPart_000_006B_01C4EF76.43A6C9C0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

* * * * *
* ---REMAINDER OF MESSAGE TRUNCATED--- *
* This post contains a forbidden message format *
* (such as an attached file, a v-card, HTML formatting) *

* Mail Lists at theporch.com only accept PLAIN TEXT *
* If your postings display this message your mail program *
* is not set to send PLAIN TEXT ONLY and needs adjusting *
* * * * *

-----=_NextPart_000_006B_01C4EF76.43A6C9C0--

Message-ID: <006201c4ef1f\$a8fa0a00\$6cc6e804@hppav>
From: "Don Davis" <dxguy@earthlink.net>
To: Old Tube Radios <boatanchors@theporch.com>
Subject: KNOWLEDGE BLACKOUT AT Old Tube Radios
Date: Fri, 31 Dec 2004 02:00:58 -0800
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain;
charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

Anyone mind if we get back to Old Tube Radios? That's the only thing the rest of us signed up for.

Tnx & 73

AD6PB

Message-ID: <41D54A08.30000008@ix.netcom.com>
Date: Fri, 31 Dec 2004 06:46:00 -0600
From: David Stinson <arc5@ix.netcom.com>
MIME-Version: 1.0
To: Old Tube Radios <boatanchors@theporch.com>
Subject: Re: KNOWLEDGE BLACKOUT AT TIME MAGAZINE
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii; format=flowed
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

I'm sorry, Avery; this is going to step on your toes,
and I do include you in the "exception" group,
but this needs saying.

You folks are talking about media people as though
their distortions were simple incompetence or competitiveness.
That's not the case. Do you honestly believe disgraceful
shams like the one CBS pulled during the election were
"honest mistakes?" Heavens, no. They got arrogant, thinking
the "rubes" would believe anything they told them, and intentionally
fabricated and timed this "story" to sway the electorate.
An organization like CBS News was hood-winked

by a bitter old man in Texas with some clumsily forged documents?
Paah! That's just nonsense; they get faked documents every day.
These fit their bias well, so they decided to gamble.

Most media people, and especially their editors,
have a political agenda. Everything that sees publication or
air is filtered through that agenda- everything.
There are exceptions- many people (usually over 40)
want to do an honest job, but their editors fix that,
and sometimes by more than just a re-write.

Go to any college and audit the journalism classes-
you'll find professors beating the "your job is to
change the world" drum. Ask any journalism undergraduate
what he/she wants to accomplish as a reporter.
Honest reporting of the facts isn't even on the menu.

I'm glad Edward R. Morrow didn't live to see "journalism"
perverted into a psychological warfare tool
and lesser men sully his position; he'd be ashamed.

D.S.

Message-ID: <41D55745.5000505@pacbell.net>
Date: Fri, 31 Dec 2004 05:42:29 -0800
From: Dan Arney <hankarn@pacbell.net>
MIME-Version: 1.0
To: Old Tube Radios <boatanchors@theporch.com>
Cc: Old Tube Radios <boatanchors@theporch.com>
Subject: Re: KNOWLEDGE BLACKOUT AT TIME MAGAZINE
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=ISO-8859-1; format=flowed
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

David, Very well said.
Amen,
Happy New Year to all.

Hank
KN6DI

Date: Fri, 31 Dec 2004 08:47:08 -0800
From: Mike Hardie <mike46@shaw.ca>
Subject: WTB: A.C. Plug
To: Old Tube Radios <boatanchors@theporch.com>
Message-id: <000001c4ef58\$5e50ca50\$6401a8c0@usern1yudx31pt>
MIME-version: 1.0

Content-type: text/plain; charset=windows-1250
Content-transfer-encoding: 7bit

Anyone know of a source for the all metal A.C. plug, the type that has a "folding" ground pin, two bolts used to clamp onto cord, commonly found on plug-in-the-wall mil gear? (Other spades are wide and narrow similar to modern lamp cords)

Mike VE7MMH

--

No virus found in this outgoing message.

Checked by AVG Anti-Virus.

Version: 7.0.296 / Virus Database: 265.6.7 - Release Date: 12/30/2004

End of BOATANCHORS Digest 3748
